

June 17, 2009 (# 344)

Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt June 17, 2009:

Military Intervention, Always Deception:

"Military-Industrial Complex is an Alliance,
Old Families, Big Business, Coupled with Science,
Pretending to Nations They're for Greater Good
As They Conquer with Bombs, Psychology and Food,
With Pompous Arrogance, Cunning and Greed,
They Own the Resources of All That You Need,
By Camouflage, Deception of True Intention,
War of Conquest Called 'Policing,' Intervention,
For 'Preventative Wars' They have a List,
'Before They're a Danger We Hit Them First,'
When it's All Over, the End of Each Nation,
Mankind Reduced to Manageable Population,
The Elite can Relax, Live Without Fear,
A Scientific Utopia, No Commoners Here"

© Alan Watt June 17, 2009

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - June 17, 2009 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , [.us](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.us) , [.ca](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on the 17th of June 2009. Newcomers should look in to cuttingthroughthematrix.com web site and on that front page you'll find all the other sites I have where you can download all the talks I've given in the past, the audios. There's hundreds of them to choose from. When you go in to cuttingthroughthematrix.com you should start and pick one of the alternate sites and get used to using it because I'm pretty sure that eventually Yahoo will be yanking them off again. Big things are happening now in the internet industry while the big security organizations that were set up along time ago are given more force to intervene and really nitpick at people they don't want out there. There's other ones going up right now. It takes be three or four weeks to put a new site up. That's uploading 12 hours a day by satellite. Satellite is not the fastest. Plus, they kind of punish you - under their fair use policy - if they claim you're using it too much. You can also go in to alanwattsentientsentinel.eu. On that site you can also get the audios and you can get written transcripts of these audios in the various languages of Europe which you can print up and pass around to your friends. So that's another good site to use.

I depend upon the listeners to keep me going by contributions or purchasing what I have for sale. On my web site you can find out how to do that. There's PayPal; personal checks are fine within the US and Canada. Western Union is fine. There's even innovative people who still send money the old-fashioned way which saves them money and me too. I'll leave you to figure that out, how that's done. You can also write to me [listed above].

Now, I've got that out of the way. That's my shameless self-promotion. I don't really believe in asking people to help out. It's a pity you have to go that far to do it. Remember I don't get paid by the advertisers. The ads on this show goes straight to RBN. That pays for the broadcast time. That pays for their engineers and staff and so on. So it's up to YOU. But it also gives me scope to say a lot of other things which I CAN say. I'm not beholden to advertisers to stay off certain topics, etc when I'm asked my opinions on things.

We're on a roll into this brave new world. It's amazing, isn't it, how everyone adapts to it? They adapt and adapt and adapt, exactly as they've always done in the past in major world wars and things. Exactly as people like Bertrand Russell said they would do because it had been used so many times before. We can adapt in to anything. Then, before you know it, it's all quite normal. Therefore, war or even the threat of war or now this big thing called terrorism is used to the maximum and we adapt in to a whole new way of living as the sky is falling at the same time. I'm going to go in to that, with more, after these messages.

I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about how we ADAPT to situations and how we've always adapted in the past with world wars and so on. Yet, under this one today, this new world order that started in 2001 when an organization that already, really, had set itself up to rule the world and was COMPLETELY INTERLINKED WITH OTHER COUNTRIES AND THE HEADS OF STATE and so on, went in to action. Our lives have really been turned upside-down and yet, we're not done yet because the next phase is to go ahead where, under the threat of environmental catastrophe, we have to change our entire way of living. That includes the way you get raised, maybe if you get raised at all or

even conceived for that matter, and all the money you have to fork out that you personally are causing... even when you purchase something. It's a big business that's flourishing already to do with carbon taxes and carbon trading and even buying up land for conservation, under the guise of saving the planet. Massive business is underway... already. Believe you me, it's not for the ordinary people. This is for total control. We adapt and we adapt and we adapt. We adapt to the fact that we have to get searched when you go in to big cities. All of this kind of stuff. Really, if you were living somewhere else and came in to this environment, you would think the people were mad. Because their topics of conversation are trivia, they're meaningless trivia as they adapt and go through things. They're still stuck on trivia because they're FED lots of trivia to keep them occupied.

Remember what Skinner said, the behaviorist. He said if you want to change people, an individual or society, he said all you have to do is change something in their environment. And we adapt to that, you see. We adapt to the things in our environment... think of the television. Even before that, the radio. How Tavistock and the BBC realized that through serials, that's continuous shows or drama, where they *leave you* at the end of an hour with a cliffhanger where the hero is literally hanging over a cliff. They change people's habits... to tune in the next day. They'd rush home to tune in the next day to see what happened to this hero. BEHAVIOR MODIFICATION. Very simple.

Television. Everyone's got that glowing box in their homes. You pass house after house at night and all you see is that bluish-white glow from the windows as they're all sucking on the teat of television *thinking* they're being entertained. Really thinking that, never knowing they're being brainwashed and downloaded with predictive programming from everything that's on there. You know there's not a show - if you talk to producers - there's nothing on television in any movie or drama, whatever, where the *minutest thing* that you see - even if you can't remember seeing it - isn't debated and discussed by PANELS OF PEOPLE, right down to the kind of flower that will be in the little vase at the outer part of the screen. Things which you would think are irrelevant; there's nothing there. So here you are, thinking you're being entertained, passing your life away - and you've only got the one life to go - passing so much hours per day of your LIFE, your life force away, watching *someone else's* ideas and getting *someone else's thoughts*. Professional people. People whose job it is TO GET IN TO YOUR MIND. And people think they're being entertained. It's fascinating when you sit back and think about it.

Everyone's adapting to this whole terrorism thing. We've had terrorism since 2001, the fear of plagues coming. We've had the banks crashes, the bail-outs of the bank crashes. We've had the coming pandemics with the Avian flu, now it's turned in to the Swine flu and ya-da, ya-da, ya. One thing after another. THINGS THAT YOU COULDN'T HAVE SPREAD OUT OVER A CENTURY HAVE BEEN CRAMMED IN TO THE LAST FEW YEARS *because* it's an agenda. It's an agenda well written about by big planners who publish lots of books about it to do with the UTOPIA they would bring in. Where *SCIENTISTS*, under the age of reason, would guide and control and actually ORDER the world... because after all, they were the new secular priests. Secularism, where data and logic would rule over superstition. That's the world they want to bring in. Where those who know *better* how we should all live, will MAKE US LIVE THAT WAY. That's what it's all about.

Every one of the books I've mentioned before written by the Russell's, the Huxley's, the Wells', and many, many others down to the present time, they were all utter control freaks. Every single one of them. Who *just knew* HOW we should all live. What's amazing too is that none of them differed from the other, from the agenda of eugenics, the ideal population size, what you should eat and who should mate with whom, all of that stuff. *None of them differed*. Why was that? Because, really, behind it all, is another religion. You've got to understand what a religion is. It's a BELIEF SYSTEM. These guys have a belief system because all they base everything on is theory.

Aldous Huxley said that they could very well end up in a scientific dictatorship. He said it would be very SEVERE on the people, the populations, under a scientific dictatorship. However, if there was to be a dictatorship, he would prefer it was a scientific one. Well that's what we're under today. Science and psychology rules us ALL today. They use psychology in newspaper reports and everything you see on TV and government issuance of various important things, supposedly. They use psychologists and how to *phrase things*. There's whole specialized areas of psychology to do with phrases... because we think in LANGUAGE. We think in language. We're very much like computers in that way. I've said many times that a programmer of a particular computer, if he was asked a question to feed in to that computer, should be able to tell you what the computer's answer will be because he *knows* that computer's logic and he knows its language. It has no option but to come to the answer that it's programmed to give.

We're the same. WE have a certain type of logic. That's what allows us to be ruled under tyranny through thousands of years... under various guises. It's called naivety. That's what our logic is... for the general population who are always kept in utter ignorance of reality. We're given lots of reasons, lots of excuses and people with lots of letters behind their names will get up on television to tell us what the *expert* opinions are and we parrot that off. We're living in a contrived fiction, well planned though, a scientific dictatorship.

Here's an article here, it's from The Telegraph.

Scientists faking results and omitting unwanted findings in research

Faking results and omitting inconvenient truths in scientific research is more widespread than originally thought, a study suggests.

By Richard Alleyne, Science Correspondent / Published: 4 Jun 2009

More than two-thirds of researchers said they knew of colleagues (*Alan: Two-thirds of them, right, they KNEW...*) who had committed "questionable" practices and one in seven said that included inventing findings. (*A: See, they all live on grants and they all want to please their masters and keep the grants going so they fudge all the data.*)

But when scientists were asked about their own behaviour only two per cent admitted to having faked results. (*A: But they all knew. Two-thirds of them knew others had done it but only 2% admit to having done it themselves.*)

The findings, published in the journal Public Library of Science, are based on a review of 21 scientific misconduct surveys carried out between 1986 and 2005.

The results paint a picture of a profession in which dishonesty and misrepresentation are widespread. *(A: Now, when I say that, think of that United Nations organization that's going to control our lives with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, the IPCC. Because they've been PROVEN to be such liars, basically, so many times. But it doesn't matter because their bread and butter depends on it.)*

On average, across the surveys, around two per cent of scientists admitted they had "fabricated" (made up), "falsified" or "altered" data to "improve the outcome" at least once.

A further 34 per cent admitted to other questionable research practices including "failing to present data that contradict one's own previous research" and "dropping observations or data points from analyses based on a gut feeling that they were inaccurate."

In surveys that asked about the behaviour of colleagues, 14 per cent knew someone who had fabricated, falsified or altered data, and up to 72 per cent knew someone who had committed other questionable research practices.

Misconduct was reported most frequently by medical researchers, suggesting commercial pressures maybe putting extra pressure on them to have the right results. *(A: In other words, they'll lie to please their bosses. It's funny that, because there was an article on the CBC, a documentary a few years ago, on a whole body of scientists and lab workers who worked - they were really freelance - but they worked for big pharma companies and they're paid to always write the positive results on the tests for the various drugs and omit completely all the bad stuff. Back with more after this break.)*

I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix, just finishing off an article from the Telegraph on how scientists quite commonly fudge the data that they're working on because they want to please their bosses. They want to keep the grants going, of course, because that's how they live is on grants. They fudge their statistics and they fudge their *graphs*. They love fudging graphs because they're *very impressive*. They all want to get their names in the books as having discovered this or that or the other. MOST OF SCIENCE IS BASED ON THEORY, at least at the bottom level. On the very high level, way above the CIA, it's a different level all together, a different kettle of fish; but at the bottom, it's all done by *theory*. It says here

Daniele Fanelli, of the University of Edinburgh, who carried out the investigation, believes that high-profile cases such as that of Hwang Woo-Suk, the South Korean scientist disgraced for fabricating human stem cell data, are less unusual than is generally assumed.

"Increasing evidence suggests that known frauds are just the tip of the iceberg and that many cases are never discovered," he said.

That part there about misconduct, he says, was reported most frequently by MEDICAL researchers. That's where most of it is, medicine and pharma. They have whole teams paid to fudge all their data. Commonly. That's normal. That's normal. Quite something, eh? A scientific dictatorship, they say. Right. Scientific dictatorship. Talking about how we're TRAINED to believe the experts, because that's what Huxley and Russell said, that the public would be *taught* that the experts were right on everything and that you could never really trust your own judgments; you must go by the expert's opinion.

This Swine flu stuff, right,

Swine flu diagnosis doubts prompt tests rethink
Scottish suspected swine flu cases to be checked by labs before being announced after apparent misdiagnoses by doctors

Severin Carrell, Scotland correspondent guardian.co.uk, Wednesday 17 June 2009

Scores of swine flu cases in Scotland may have been wrongly diagnosed by family doctors, it emerged today, forcing health officials to abandon a new testing regime.

Scottish ministers announced last week that GPs (*A: General practitioners.*) were to be allowed to diagnose the virus at their surgeries, rather than rely on lab tests. (*A: In other words, if you've got a sniffle, they're putting it down to Swine flu. As soon as they gave them the right to do this by looking at the patient, it says...*)

The number of cases soared to 498 by the weekend (*A: Immediately, right?*), followed on Sunday by the first death of someone with swine flu outside the Americas. The victim, Jacqui Fleming, 38, from Carnwadric, Glasgow, also had underlying health problems.

After doctors reported 351 cases in Glasgow and Paisley yesterday – a dramatic increase on previous daily results – doubts emerged about the accuracy of their diagnoses.

This evening, the Scottish government confirmed that further analysis of these results suggested a large majority of these were wrong. As a result, every suspected case of H1N1 swine flu will now be checked by laboratories before being announced.

Before, they weren't even checking them with a swab test. Anyone with a bit of hay fever or a sniffle was diagnosed as having Swine flu. Why? Because that's what they wanted is big numbers because the World Health Organization (WHO) needs these big numbers to prove their right to exist as an AUTHORITY. Without the numbers, they would be proven to be fake once more. See, they were fake before when they talked about the Avian flu. That was going to kill us all. In fact, the World Health Organization said we'd all have AIDS 15 years ago. We should all have been dead with AIDS 15 years ago. That never happened either. So they need numbers to prove they're right this time. I even have an article where they almost pleaded with another expert authority to prove the Swine flu was going to be as bad as they said it was. It's amazing, eh? Science. The Scientific dictatorship. We're supposed to obey and bend over and get stabbed in the behind

with their needles on their say-so. Again because the pharmas say so. THEY'VE NEVER YET HAD A FLU VACCINE OUT THAT'S EVER WORKED. That's printed every spring. They always have the wrong mixes; that's their excuse. Quite something, eh?

Here's an older article, again, to do with scientists. I've read this one before and it's from the Observer, Saturday the 13th of August 2000. I've talked before about the GMO foods and how soya was at the forefront, one of the earliest altered, GMO altered, things out there. In fact, look at the incredible encouragement they had for us to eat this stuff... and the massive health campaign, under the guise of health. A health campaign, it was much better for you than beef steak and all the rest of it. Remember, this is the year 2000, how they've crushed that and quieted this down.

Soya alert over cancer and brain damage

Special report: what's wrong with our food?

by Antony Barnett, public affairs editor The Observer, Sunday 13 August 2000

A health warning was sounded last night over the dangers of eating soya after two senior American government scientists revealed that chemicals in the product could increase the risk of breast cancer in women, brain damage in men and abnormalities in infants.

The disclosure, which sent shockwaves through the multi-billion dollar food industry, came after the scientists decided (*A: Now, listen to this... These guys worked at the Food and Drug Administration.*) to break ranks with colleagues in the US Food and Drug Administration and oppose its decision last year to approve a health claim that soya reduced the risk of heart disease. (*A: The soya manufacturers wanted the FDA to approve this under the guise that it would help your heart but they broke ranks. Back with more after this break.*)

This is Alan Watt and we're back Cutting Through The Matrix, reading from an article from the Observer to do with soya and how the FDA, the Food and Drug Administration of the US, had been asked to approve a health claim that soya reduced the risk of heart disease, by the manufactures and suppliers and associations. However, two scientists broke ranks with the rest of them. I'd like to know how many were IN on the experiments and so on, the analysis, to find out what the percentage would be of people with a conscience.

They wrote an internal protest letter warning of 28 studies revealing toxic effects of soya. (*A: You could imagine they wouldn't be too popular.*)

In an interview with The Observer, one of the scientists, Daniel Doerge, an expert on soya, said: 'We have very real worries that this health claim will be used by the industry as an endorsement of much wider health benefits to soya beyond the heart. Research has shown a clear link (*A: a CLEAR LINK*) between soya and the potential for adverse effects in humans.'

BSE and other health scares (*A: That's what they were. They were scares.*) related to meat have led to rocketing sales of soya-related products in Britain. But it is not just vegetarian foods such as tofu that use soya. It is a key

ingredient in products from meat sausages and fish fingers to salad creams and breakfast cereals. (A: *It's in most candies too.*)

The concerns of Doerge and fellow FDA researcher Daniel Sheehan focus on chemicals in soya known as isoflavones which have effects similar to the female hormone oestrogen. (A: *What a coincidence, eh? What a coincidence that for 50 years this same World Health Organization - and it wants to depopulate the planet, in its own writings - have reports that the male is becoming sterile. EVERY YEAR IT GETS WORSE AND WORSE AND WORSE. I've done the reports before, I've gone over them on the air about Bisphenol-A in the plastics and the linings of tin cans and baby foods and all the rest of it. Here we find the soya, one of the other things they're trying to push on everybody, has the same effect. It's ANOTHER artificial estrogen, right, isoflavones.*)

While these chemicals may help to prevent a range of conditions including high cholesterol, they also lead to health problems in animals including altering sexual development of fetuses and causing thyroid disorders. Although soy is thought to protect against breast cancer, some studies show that chemicals in soya may increase the chances of breast cancer which uses oestrogen-type hormones for growth. (A: *I'm going to put a link up at the end of the show to Wise Up Journal who's got other data to do with the breast cancer, other links from his site. So look in to CuttingThroughTheMatrix.com for all the links from the topics of tonight's show. To continue with this article, it says...*)

Their letter to the FDA seen by The Observer states: 'There is abundant evidence that some of the isoflavones found in soy demonstrate toxicity in oestrogen sensitive tissues and in the thyroid. Additionally, the adverse effects in humans occur in several tissues.

'During pregnancy in humans, isoflavones per se could be a risk factor for abnormal brain and reproductive tract development.' (A: *That's exactly what the Bisphenol-A does a well, to the male fetus, between 8 and 12 weeks of pregnancy.*)

This will frighten mothers who increasingly use soya milk for babies. Doerge said: 'They are exposing their children to chemicals which we know have adverse effects in animals. It's like doing a large uncontrolled and unmonitored experiment on infants.'

I'd alter that and say, NO, they know exactly what they're doing. This kind of thing doesn't happen for YEARS and there's studies proving the same things over and over without permission from the top. You see, there's a MANDATE underway of population reduction. A mandate. A scientific dictatorship, eh? Where we all do what we're told because EXPERTS SAY. Yet, here's 2 guys that came out and went against it. I'd like to know what happened to them, as well. If anyone has a follow-up on this article and what did happen to them, I'd appreciate it if you'd send it to me. What a world we're living in today. What a world... since 2001 when the new world order came out in force and recently at the G20, Mr. Brown, the Prime Minister of Britain, started off his speech calling it 'The New World Order.' It's all out in the open.

You know, they're standardizing the world. Completely standardizing it. Everyone *must* fit in to the same shoe. WE MUST ALL BE THE SAME ACROSS THE PLANET. What they're going to do in the Middle-East is SECULARIZE it. They must secularize every society because that's how they do business. You can only do business with secular societies. That's in their mandates: secularization. The West, for all it has its titular remnants of religions and that's all they are, are remnants. Most of them now are just remnants. They just play a role and join a club, basically... out of habit more than anything else.

We live in a secular, humanist society, even if you belong to one of the regular, old religions. Secular humanism is also listed - you can look it up yourself - as a RELIGION. What gives these guys the right to destroy all other religions and replace it with their own? Well, THEY BELIEVE THEY'RE SUPERIOR, you see... because they've proven over generations of acquiring wealth and holding on to that wealth by reproducing through *selective* breeding... very, very selective breeding. They've held on to it because their children haven't gone off and been spendthrifts and drunk it all away, or gambled it. That they have the right to rule all the rest of us. That's why.

We adapt and we adapt and we adapt to every change that comes along. How many articles have I read where they're altering the culture at school and even in kindergarten, to raise a generation, a generation growing up right now, totally brainwashed into the *new, greening society* where literally, you'll be unable to converse with them, standing from where we are today. They're like robots. Totally, utterly brainwashed with *scientific indoctrination*, as Mr. Bertrand Russell called it. SCIENTIFIC INDOCTRINATION.

Here's an article here. As the people adapt and adapt and talk about trivia... and send their children off to school. This is from The Telegraph.

Teachers urged to join MI5

(A: That's like the internal FBI.)

MI5 is attempting to recruit teachers as "spies" in a new recruitment drive.

By Graeme Paton, Education Editor
12 Jun 2009

The security agency said teachers' people skills made them "ideal candidates". *(A: Their 'people skills'.)*

An advert placed in a trade paper urges school staff to apply because they can "build trust and relationships with all sorts of individuals".

The post - for an operational intelligence officer - carries a salary of £35,425 plus benefits, broadly similar to that of an experienced secondary school teacher.

Teachers who successfully pass the recruitment process will find themselves taken out of the classroom and into "the heart of intelligence gathering". *(A: They've already BEEN in intelligence gathering, if you see the sort of questionnaires they hand out to the children about their home life and what*

dad does and what mom does and how they get on together and what opinions they have on different topics. They've already been gathering intelligence.)

There are schools in the US where a good majority of young males are on Ritalin and other medications, which are amphetamines - speed, in other words - because the TEACHERS have been doing the diagnosis. Any child, any male that is a bit active... and that's how young males are. Young males are very active. They're different from young females. That's called nature. They don't slow down and start thinking and taking anything seriously until that energy's gone when they're older. But you see, in the standardized world we've got to all be the same.

Remember, in their religion at the top, study communism. They say there is no difference between a man and a woman, just your indoctrination. That's what they say. That's why that happens in the school. So, what you do when the males don't comply and sit quietly and attentively, you drug them to PROVE that your theory is correct. Communism. Bush Sr., remember, was the first one to use the term communitarianism. There was no outcry from the public like, that's awfully close to communism. No. You never heard that anywhere, not even from ANY reporter. Amazing, eh?

Across whole countries, you have your little local news magazines or local newspapers and all you get is charity events by the Shriners or whatever in them. You have community events and so on and so on. I've said before, that eventually, in their beautiful controlled world, when there's a little gala in the local park you'd better attend... because your face will be *looked for* and you'd better be there... OR you'll be classed as being ANTI-SOCIAL. If it's an event where you dress up like a clown, you'd better go and get a clown's outfit and put it on... and a big red nose. Know something? Most will do it. Most people will do it... because they'll do anything to get along. Anything at all. They've already proven that many times over. Bend over, you have to be searched. Quite something.

There's a web site that's called Voltaire.net that goes in to some articles that are just touched on in the regular media. This article here is about the CIA / Pentagon to do with chips and how their system really works and how they *view* the future. It says here

A conspiracy theory? Think again! (VIDEO)
CIA and Pentagon Deploy RFID "Death Chips."
Coming Soon to a Product Near You!

by Tom Burghardt (voltaire.net) - June 16, 2009

First it was cattle. Then it was pets. Then Mexicans. (A: *Remember the Mexican workers for the government had to get chips under the skin.*) Now the tribal areas of Pakistan where the CIA is equipping Pakistani tribesmen with secret transmitters to call in airstrikes targeting al-Qaida and Taliban militants. A drone, guided by the signal from the chip, destroys the building with a salvo of missiles scattering body parts everywhere. Will Americans and the rest of the "free world" be next?

You'd better believe it because they're talking about 30 years of rioting. Why do you think they're putting up ALL of the cameras across the Western world and

they've been doing it since BEFORE 2001 in preparation for the next 20, 30, 40, 50 years? They want to have us ALL carrying ID chips, active ones that can be read from a *long distance* and have us all BELIEVE we're under eminent strikes from terrorists. That's why there's cops everywhere with guns and so on. Why do you think that's really all there? Why do you think that's really, really all there?

These countries they go in to, for conquest... I should explain that too. To say that they're standardizing the world in to the one system. They actually have a part of the Pentagon that IS SET UP to do with, they call it, 'revolutionary democracy'. It means the right to go in to a country under warfare, a guise of warfare, and create a revolution *from within* once you've conquered them and FORCE democracy upon them, that's their right. I've read the articles before and given you links to even the videos from the BBC talking about this from guys INVOLVED in this network of revolutionary democracy. That was also part of the **Project for a New American Century** that Wolfowitz, Cheney, Rumsfeld and all these boys belong to. They published their findings twice in the 90s with a list of countries they'd have to demolish.

We run according to an agenda, A B C D E F... etc. It's an agenda. Then they turn and lied to the public to give an excuse because you MUST get the public 'on board' when you want to go off and invade people. You must give them a valid reason. When none exists, you must LIE through your teeth, which they DO, without a problem. Revolutionary democracy... to bring in a secularized society. Standardize the world.

Getting back to this article. What I mean to say too, is that every country they go in to, they put up these cameras everywhere. They can experiment further with chips and all the rest of it they can do back HOME, but this is all to be *used* back home as part of the new world order system. It says

Long perceived as a crazy conspiracy theory, radio-frequency identification chips (RFID) have surreptitiously penetrated every aspect of society and may soon literally get under our skin for ubiquitous surveillance. Back to Orwell ... "The future is now" as Burghardt admonishes!

Then it says here...

Domestic Resistance in the U.S.A.

Military industry Global war on "terrorism"

by Tom Burghardt / 16 June 2009

What Pentagon theorists describe as a "Revolution in Military Affairs" (A: *That's what they're calling it.*) (RMA) leverages information technology to facilitate (so they allege) command decision-making processes and mission effectiveness, i.e. the waging of aggressive wars of conquest. (A: *You can not say that they're talking about this progressive war of conquest IS ON THE ENTIRE WORLD. Look what's happened across the whole planet since 2001. Every country has followed the same guidelines down to the letter. Meanwhile, we're distracted with JUST the Middle-East. So much so, we don't say wait a minute here, ...the waging of aggressive wars of conquest... is also what's happening everywhere else.*)

It is assumed that U.S. technological preeminence, referred to euphemistically by Airforce Magazine as “compressing the kill chain,” will assure American military hegemony well into the 21st century. Indeed a 2001 study, [1], brought together analysts from a host of Pentagon agencies as well as defense contractors Boeing, Booz Allen Hamilton and the MITRE Corporation and consultants from ThoughtLink, Toffler Associates (A: *Interesting, eh?*) and the RAND Corporation who proposed to do just.

As a result of this and other Pentagon-sponsored research, military operations from Afghanistan to Iraq and beyond aim for “defined effects” through “kinetic” and “non-kinetic” means: leadership decapitation through preemptive strikes combined with psychological operations designed to pacify (terrorize) insurgent populations. (A: *That means terrorize them. What do you think they're doing back home and across the world right now? ...as they terrorize populations with their electrocution - oh sorry, taser guns - and all this kind of stuff. Back with more after this break.*)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and we're back Cutting Through The Matrix, reading an article from Voltaire.net and they have links *from* this article too, to various articles put out by the Pentagon. One of them is called The Unconventional Warfare Doctrine.

In this respect, “network-centric warfare” (A: *NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE. You see that's another term for TOTAL INFORMATION network. Network-centric warfare...*) advocates believe U.S. forces (A: *Listen to this...*) can now dominate entire societies through ubiquitous surveillance (A: *Now, that means back home, as well, you see. That's why this is all happening. Dominate ENTIRE SOCIETIES.*)

Remember what Eisenhower said - I think it was Eisenhower - ‘Beware of the military-industrial complex’ in one of his last speeches to *the people*. Network-centric warfare. They always lie to you. They lie to you as they introduce bit by bit and give you time to adapt to it and then they go on to the next phase. Remember the cameras went up... only at intersections... because we have the occasional accident there. Before you know it, they're all over the place, sprouting like weeds. Always lying. Always lying. Network-centric warfare. A new world order and terrorism is worldwide. What do you think that means?

There's a caller there. Is Karen from Oregon there?

Karen: Hi Alan. Karen here. You're always such a happy guy to listen to.

Alan: I know. It's amazing eh? [laughs]

Karen: I was listening to you talking about soy and actually I believe that it's the genetically altered soy that is harmful, which unfortunately is most of the soy out there and of course, they can do anything they want to with a label. There are a lot of studies that actually show that soy increases mental acuity and that it also, the isoflavonoids, can increase the metabolism of endogenous estrogens to anti-carcinogenic metabolite. How is that? [laughs]

Alan: I think their studies have also shown the opposite as well. You got to understand the soya was promoted to be one of the biggest businesses on the planet. Massive campaigns went in to promoting as a health product. When you find out where the money was coming from, I start to get suspicious. I really start to get suspicious. Plus, remember too, that Toffler and others said that the world we are bringing in WILL BE a vegetarian society and it MUST BE SO. Now we have the environmentalists, who are solely eugenicists, but using the environment and so on, promoting nothing but vegetarianism and there's Paul McCartney up there this week promoting it too, the vegetarian idea. You see, there's an agenda underway. It's been underway for quite some time, not just our generation but it's been underway for quite some time to promote this whole thing. Now, I know lots of vegetarians. I know ones that have died. Really, they've stuck to organic and all the rest of it as best as they could, as they were aware of, and who have been diagnosed with cancers of this, that and the other thing. So they're dropping as well. Really, I'm very suspicious of this whole health movement. But we do know that under the guise of the new world order they're bringing in a vegetarian society. I guarantee you, all we'll have, eventually, is the modified food to chomp on. It's all GMO vegetables and so on... and soaked in pesticides... because it fits in with the agenda.

That's it for tonight from myself and Hamish from Ontario, Canada. It's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

["Scientists faking results and omitting unwanted findings in research" by Richard Alleyne \(telegraph.co.uk\) - June 4, 2009.](#)

["Swine flu diagnosis doubts prompt tests rethink" by Severin Carrell \(guardian.co.uk\) - June 17, 2009.](#)

["Soya alert over cancer and brain damage link" by Antony Barnett \(guardian.co.uk\) - Aug. 13, 2000.](#)

["Cause of increased cancer and infertility proven" by Gabriel O'Hara \(wiseupjournal.com\) - June 17, 2009.](#)

["MI5 is attempting to recruit teachers as "spies" in a new recruitment drive" by Graeme Paton \(telegraph.co.uk\) - June 12, 2009.](#)

["CIA and Pentagon Deploy RFID "Death Chips." Coming Soon to a Product Near You!" by Tom Burghardt \(voltaire.net.org\) - June 16, 2009.](#)

Transcribed by Diana